UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,704	07/29/2005	Jan De Kroon	4662-302	2461
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 01/27/200 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	FREEMAN, JOHN D		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/520,704	DE KROON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

12.51111				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the san application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11 periods:	(1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Y CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee distatutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 22 December 2008 . A brief in the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior (a) They raise new issues that would require further considerat (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence of NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ion and/or search (see NOTE below); for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will reply with the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was a sufficient reasons.	e <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a			
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 				
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. Other:				
/Callie E. Shosho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794	/J. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 1794			

Continuation of 11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.1.32 filed 8 January 2009 overcomes the examiner's arguments that counsel's arguments "cannot take the place of evidence in the record" originally made in the Advisory Action mailed 9 January 2009. In re Schulze, 346 F.2d 600, 602, 145 USPQ 716, 718 (CCPA 1965).

However, the data remain unpersuasive for the reasons set forth in the Advisory Action mailed 9 January 2009, namely: (1) not commensurate in scope with the claims, and (2) relate to only the difference in thickness stability between branched polyamides and non-branched polyamides. For a full discussion of the data, please see the cited Advisory Action.

The claims remain rejected for the reasons of record as set forth in the Advisory Action mailed 9 January 2009.